Extended Key Usage (EKU) for Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) X.509 Certificates

Abstract

This memo documents an extended key usage (EKU) X.509 certificate extension for restricting the applicability of a certificate to use with a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) service. As such, in addition to providing rules for SIP implementations, this memo also provides guidance to issuers of certificates for use with SIP.
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1. Introduction

This memo documents an extended key usage (EKU) X.509 certificate extension for restricting the applicability of a certificate to use with a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) service. As such, in addition to providing rules for SIP implementations, this memo also provides guidance to issuers of certificates for use with SIP.

2. Terminology

2.1. Key Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [1].

Additionally, the following term is defined:

SIP domain identity: A subject identity in the X.509 certificate that conveys to a recipient of the certificate that the certificate owner is authoritative for SIP services in the domain named by that subject identity.

2.2. Abstract Syntax Notation

All X.509 certificate X.509 [4] extensions are defined using ASN.1 X.680 [5], and X.690 [6].

3. Problem Statement

Consider the SIP RFC 3261 [2] actors shown in Figure 1.

```
+-------+                    +-------+
| Proxy |--------------------| Proxy |
+-------+                    +-------+
   |                   |
   | 0--0               |
   | /\                 |
   +--+                |
      alice@example.com bob@example.net
```

Figure 1: SIP Trapezoid
Assume that alice@example.com creates an INVITE for bob@example.net; her user agent routes the request to some proxy in her domain, example.com. Suppose also that example.com is a large organization that maintains several SIP proxies, and her INVITE arrived at an outbound proxy Proxy-A.example.com. In order to route the request onward, Proxy-A uses RFC 3263 [7] resolution and finds that Proxy-B.example.net is a valid proxy for example.net that uses Transport Layer Security (TLS). Proxy-A.example.com requests a TLS connection to Proxy-B.example.net, and in the TLS handshake each one presents a certificate to authenticate that connection. The validation of these certificates by each proxy to determine whether or not their peer is authoritative for the appropriate SIP domain is defined in "Domain Certificates in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)" [8].

A SIP domain name is frequently textually identical to the same DNS name used for other purposes. For example, the DNS name example.com can serve as a SIP domain name, an email domain name, and a web service name. Since these different services within a single organization might be administered independently and hosted separately, it is desirable that a certificate be able to bind the DNS name to its usage as a SIP domain name without creating the implication that the entity presenting the certificate is also authoritative for some other purpose. A mechanism is needed to allow the certificate issued to a proxy to be restricted such that the subject name(s) that the certificate contains are valid only for use in SIP. In our example, Proxy-B possesses a certificate making Proxy-B authoritative as a SIP server for the domain example.net; furthermore, Proxy-B has a policy that requires the client’s SIP domain be authenticated through a similar certificate. Proxy-A is authoritative as a SIP server for the domain example.com; when Proxy-A makes a TLS connection to Proxy-B, the latter accepts the connection based on its policy.

4. Restricting Usage to SIP

This memo defines a certificate profile for restricting the usage of a domain name binding to usage as a SIP domain name. RFC 5280 [3], Section 4.2.1.12, defines a mechanism for this purpose: an "Extended Key Usage" (EKU) attribute, where the purpose of the EKU extension is described as:

If the extension is present, then the certificate MUST only be used for one of the purposes indicated. If multiple purposes are indicated the application need not recognize all purposes indicated, as long as the intended purpose is present. Certificate using applications MAY require that the extended key...
usage extension be present and that a particular purpose be indicated in order for the certificate to be acceptable to that application.

A Certificate Authority issuing a certificate whose purpose is to bind a SIP domain identity without binding other non-SIP identities MUST include an id-kp-sipDomain attribute in the Extended Key Usage extension value (see Section 4.1).

4.1. Extended Key Usage Values for SIP Domains

RFC 5280 [3] specifies the EKU X.509 certificate extension for use in the Internet. The extension indicates one or more purposes for which the certified public key is valid. The EKU extension can be used in conjunction with the key usage extension, which indicates how the public key in the certificate is used, in a more basic cryptographic way.

The EKU extension syntax is repeated here for convenience:

   ExtKeyUsageSyntax  ::=  SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF KeyPurposeId

   KeyPurposeId ::=  OBJECT IDENTIFIER

This specification defines the KeyPurposeId id-kp-sipDomain. Inclusion of this KeyPurposeId in a certificate indicates that the use of any Subject names in the certificate is restricted to use by a SIP service (along with any usages allowed by other EKU values).

   id-kp  OBJECT IDENTIFIER  ::=  
       { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) 
         security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) 3 }

   id-kp-sipDomain  OBJECT IDENTIFIER  ::=  { id-kp 20 }

5. Using the SIP EKU in a Certificate

Section 7.1 of Domain Certificates in the Session Initiation Protocol [8] contains the steps for finding an identity (or a set of identities) in an X.509 certificate for SIP. In order to determine whether the usage of a certificate is restricted to serve as a SIP certificate only, implementations MUST perform the steps given below as a part of the certificate validation:
The implementation MUST examine the Extended Key Usage value(s):

- If the certificate does not contain any EKU values (the Extended Key Usage extension does not exist), it is a matter of local policy whether or not to accept the certificate for use as a SIP certificate. Note that since certificates not following this specification will not have the id-kp-sipDomain EKU value, and many do not have any EKU values, the more interoperable local policy would be to accept the certificate.

- If the certificate contains the id-kp-sipDomain EKU extension, then implementations of this specification MUST consider the certificate acceptable for use as a SIP certificate.

- If the certificate does not contain the id-kp-sipDomain EKU value, but does contain the id-kp-anyExtendedKeyUsage EKU value, it is a matter of local policy whether or not to consider the certificate acceptable for use as a SIP certificate.

- If the EKU extension exists, but does not contain any of the id-kp-sipDomain or id-kp-anyExtendedKeyUsage EKU values, then the certificate MUST NOT be accepted as valid for use as a SIP certificate.

6. Implications for a Certification Authority

The procedures and practices employed by a certification authority MUST ensure that the correct values for the EKU extension and subjectAltName are inserted in each certificate that is issued. For certificates that indicate authority over a SIP domain, but not over services other than SIP, certificate authorities MUST include the id-kp-sipDomain EKU extension.

7. Security Considerations

This memo defines an EKU X.509 certificate extension that restricts the usage of a certificate to a SIP service belonging to an autonomous domain. Relying parties can execute applicable policies (such as those related to billing) on receiving a certificate with the id-kp-sipDomain EKU value. An id-kp-sipDomain EKU value does not introduce any new security or privacy concerns.

8. IANA Considerations

The id-kp-sipDomain purpose requires an object identifier (OID). The objects are defined in an arc delegated by IANA to the PKIX working group. No further action is necessary by IANA.
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10. Normative References


Appendix A.  ASN.1 Module

SIPDomainCertExtn
{ iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
  security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0)
  id-mod-sip-domain-extns2007(62) }

DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::= BEGIN

-- OID Arcs

id-kp OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= 
{ iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1)
  security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) 3 }

-- Extended Key Usage Values

id-kp-sipDomain OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-kp 20 }

END
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